

08-09-00

A

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**UTILITY  
PATENT APPLICATION  
TRANSMITTAL**  
(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. 1322/48  
First Inventor or Application Identifier Mark Ernest Davidson  
Title See 1 in Addendum  
Express Mail Label No. EK580751910US

**APPLICATION ELEMENTS**  
See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

1. ☒ \* Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)  
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)

2. ☒ Specification [Total Pages 31]  
(preferred arrangement set forth below)  
- Descriptive title of the invention  
- Cross References to Related Applications  
- Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D  
- Reference to Microfiche Appendix  
- Background of the invention  
- Brief Summary of the invention  
- Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)  
- Detailed Description  
- Claim(s)  
- Abstract of the Disclosure

3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 8]  
4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 1]  
a. ☐ Newly executed (original or copy)  
b. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d))  
(for continuation/divisional with Box 16 completed)  
i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**  
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).

\* NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13 IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED (37 C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELIED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28).

**ADDRESS TO:** Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

5. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)  
6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)  
a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy  
b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)  
c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

**ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS**

7. ☐ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))  
8. ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) Statement of Power of Attorney (when there is an assignee)  
9. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)  
10. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 [Copies of IDS Citations]  
11. ☐ Preliminary Amendment  
12. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)  
(Should be specifically itemized)  
13. ☐ \* Small Entity Statement(s) filed in prior application (PTO/SB/09-12) Status still proper and desired  
14. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)  
15. ☒ Other: Unsigned Declaration (4 pages)  
Check in the amount of \$1,182.00

16. If a **CONTINUING APPLICATION**, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment:  
☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: \_\_\_\_\_  
Prior application information: Examiner \_\_\_\_\_ Group / Art Unit: \_\_\_\_\_  
**For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only:** The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

**17. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS**

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label (Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here) or ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	Gregory A. Hunt				
	JENKINS & WILSON, P.A.				
Address	Suite 1400 University Tower				
	3100 Tower Boulevard				
City	Durham	State	NC	Zip Code	27707
Country	USA	Telephone	001-919-493-8000	Fax	001-919-419-0383

Name (Print/Type)	Gregory A. Hunt	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	41,085
Signature	<i>Gregory A. Hunt</i>	Date	8/8/2000

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

*David J. Schulte*

# METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR TICKET VOUCHER FLOW CONTROL IN RESPONSE TO SIGNALING LINK FAILURE

5

The present invention relates to methods and systems for message flow control in response to signaling link failure. More particularly, the present invention relates to methods and systems for ticket voucher flow control in response to signaling link failure.

10

In a common channel signaling network, such as an SS7 network, call signaling messages are used to set up and tear down calls between end users and to communicate with databases. These call signaling messages are sent over signaling links to a signaling node that routes the call signaling messages to the appropriate destination. The signaling links can be SS7 signaling links, IP signaling links, or other signaling links suitable for carrying call signaling messages. The node that conventionally handles such signaling links is referred to as a signal transfer point or a signaling gateway.

15

20

One problem with conventional signal transfer points or signaling gateways occurs when one of the signaling links fails. When a signaling link fails, messages directed to that link are buffered. The signal transfer point or

signaling gateway determines an alternate route to the destination and sends the buffered messages over an alternate outgoing signaling link (if available) that has not failed. Because SS7 signaling links are typically underutilized, i.e., only about 40% of total capacity is typically used, the message transfer rate of the outgoing signaling links can be increased relative to the message transfer rate of the incoming signaling links until all of the messages that were buffered for the failed signaling link have been transmitted. Once the signaling gateway transmits all of the buffered messages, the capacity of the outgoing signaling link can be decreased back to its normal level.

The process described above for responding to a signaling link failure works well only when the incoming and outgoing signaling links are low speed signaling links, i.e., capable of processing no more than about 300 messages per second. If the incoming signaling link is a high speed signaling link, i.e., capable of processing 2000 or more messages per second, the buffer used to store messages when the outgoing signaling link fails can quickly be overrun. In addition, even if the buffer is not overrun, it may take a long period of time for the outbound signaling link to deplete the messages stored in the buffer when another signaling link fails. Thus, there exists a need for novel methods and systems for performing flow control between incoming and outgoing signaling links in a signaling point when one or more of the signaling links fails.

#### Disclosure of the Invention

According to one aspect, the present invention includes methods and systems for flow control through a signaling node when a signaling link fails

using ticket voucher messages. As used herein, ticket voucher messages are messages transmitted between inbound and outbound communication modules to throttle the flow of call signaling messages when a signaling link fails. Ticket voucher messages have conventionally been used between link  
5 interface modules and signaling connection control part (SCCP) cards to indicate whether the SCCP cards have the capacity to perform operations, such as global title translation, on incoming messages. The present invention includes novel methods and systems for using ticket voucher messages to throttle traffic between communication modules in a signaling node when one  
10 or more signaling links fail.

The present invention will be explained below in terms of modules or processes for performing ticket voucher flow control. It is understood that these modules or processes may be implemented in hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software. Accordingly, some embodiments of  
15 the present invention may be implemented as computer program products comprising computer executable instructions embodied in a computer readable medium.

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide novel methods and systems for performing flow control between communication  
20 modules in a signaling node when one or more signaling links fail.

Some of the objects of the invention having been stated hereinabove, other objects will be evident as the description proceeds, when taken in connection with the accompanying drawings as best described hereinbelow.

### Brief Description of the Drawings

A description of the present invention will now proceed with reference to the accompanying drawings of which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of an exemplary operating environment for  
5   embodiments of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of a conventional signaling gateway to which ticket voucher flow control methods of the present invention may be applied;

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating the internal architecture of a  
10   signaling gateway including one or more ticket voucher flow control processes according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a link interface module including a ticket voucher flow control process according to an embodiment of the present invention;

15   Figure 5 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps that may be performed by an MTP routing process modified to operate with a ticket voucher flow control process according to an embodiment of the present invention;

20   Figure 6 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps that may be performed by a ticket voucher flow control process in issuing a ticket voucher request according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 7 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary packet structure for a ticket voucher flow control message according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 8 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps that may be performed by a ticket voucher flow control process in processing a ticket voucher request according to an embodiment of the present invention.

5

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

Figure 1 illustrates an exemplary operating environment for embodiments of the present invention. In Figure 1, a signaling gateway 100 includes ticket voucher flow control processes 102 associated with signaling links 104 to regulate the flow of messages when one of the signaling links 104 fails. Signaling links 104 can be any type of signaling link used to communicate call signaling messages. For example, the signaling links can be SS7 signaling links, TCP/IP signaling links, or any other type of signaling links used to communicate call signaling messages. Signaling gateway 100 is preferably capable of receiving call signaling messages and routing the call signaling messages to the appropriate destination. In the illustrated embodiment, signaling gateway 100 is configured to communicate with a service switching point 106, a signal transfer point 108, a service control point 110, and a media gateway controller 112. However, the present invention is not limited to communicating with only these signaling nodes. The signaling nodes illustrated in Figure 1 are shown for illustrative purposes only.

With the exception of ticket voucher flow control process 102, the internal architecture of signaling gateway 100 may be similar to that of the EAGLE® signal transfer point or the IP<sup>7</sup> SECURE GATEWAY™ available from Tekelec, Inc., of Calabasas, California (hereinafter, "Tekelec"). A detailed description of the EAGLE® STP may be found in EAGLE® Feature Guide

PN/910-1225-01, revision B, January 1998, published by Tekelec, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Similarly, a detailed description of the IP7 SECURE GATEWAY™ may be found in Tekelec publication PN/909-0767-01, revision B, August 1991, 5 entitled "Feature Notice: IP7 SECURE GATEWAY™ Release 1," the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. The specific functions of the IP7 SECURE GATEWAY™ for transmitting and receiving TCAP messages over an Internet protocol network are described in PCT Publication No. WO 00/35155, the disclosure of which is incorporated 10 herein by reference in its entirety. Similarly, the functional components of the IP7 SECURE GATEWAY™ for transmitting ISUP messages over an Internet protocol network are described in PCT Publication No. WO 00/35156, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Figure 2 illustrates the internal subsystems of an EAGLE® STP or an 15 IP7 SECURE GATEWAY™ to which the methods for performing ticket voucher flow control according to the present invention may be applied. In the illustrated embodiment, STP or signaling gateway 200 includes the following subsystems: a maintenance and administration subsystem (MAS) 202, a communication subsystem 204, and an application subsystem 206. The 20 maintenance and administration subsystem 202 provides maintenance communications, initial program loading, peripheral services, provisioning capability, alarm processing, and system disks. Communication subsystem 204 includes an interprocessor message transport (IMT) bus that is the main communication bus among all of the subsystems in signaling gateway 200. In

a preferred embodiment, the interprocessor message transport bus includes two 125 Mbps counter-rotating serial buses.

Application subsystem **206** includes cards that are capable of communicating with other cards through the IMT bus. Numerous types of application cards can be incorporated in signaling gateway **200**. Examples of such cards includes a link interface module (LIM) **208** that provides SS7 and X.25 links, a data communications module (DCM) **210** that provides SS7-to-TCP/IP and TCP/IP-to-SS7 translation, and an application service module (ASM) **212** that provides global title translation, gateway screening, and other services. A translation service module (TSM) **214** may also be provided to support local number portability service. While multiple application modules or cards may be simultaneously configured and operatively connected to the IMT bus, it will be appreciated that each card is assigned a unique IMT bus address so as to generally facilitate the internal communication of messages between provisioned cards that are attached to the IMT bus. Once again, a detailed description of the EAGLE® STP other than DCM **210** is provided in the above-cited EAGLE® Feature Guide and need not be described in detail herein. DCM **210** is described in detail in the above-referenced International Patent Publications.

With regard to communication type modules, DCM card **210** can be used to communicate IP-encapsulated SS7 messages over an IP network, as described in the above-referenced Feature Notice IP7 SECURE GATEWAY™ release 1.0 publication. With regard to the TSM module and triggered LNP services mentioned above, a detailed description of the triggered LNP functionality of an STP or a signaling gateway can be found in Feature Guide:



LNPLSMS PN/910-158-01, revision A, January 1998, published by Tekelec, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Furthermore, systems and methods for providing triggerless LNP functionality within a network routing node are described in commonly-assigned, copending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/503,541, filed February 14, 2000, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Figure 3 is a block diagram of an exemplary internal structure of signaling gateway **100** according to an embodiment of the present invention. For convenience, only the interface modules that communicate with external call signaling links are illustrated. It is understood that signaling gateway **100** may include other modules, such as LIMs, DCMs, TSMs, ASMs, etc., as discussed above with respect to Figure 2. In the illustrated embodiment, signaling gateway **100** includes Internet protocol link interface modules (IP LIMs) **300**, **302**, **304**, and **306** for communicating with external nodes over signaling links **104**. Although the IP LIMs can be configured to communicate with any number of external signaling links, in Figure 3, each IP LIM manages two signaling links **104**.

Each of the Internet protocol link interface modules **300**, **302**, **304**, and **306** may be a separate card or printed circuit board with its own resident microprocessor and memory devices. Alternatively, IP LIMs **300**, **302**, **304**, and **306** may be separate processes executing on the same microprocessor using a time sharing algorithm. In the illustrated embodiment, IP LIMs **300**, **302**, **304**, and **306** are connected to each other by an interprocessor message transport bus **308**. IMT bus **308** may be a dual-ring, counter-rotating bus for providing additional reliability in the event that one of the Internet protocol link

interface modules fails. IMT bus **308** carries messages, such as call signaling messages, between Internet protocol link interface modules and any other modules included in signaling gateway **100**. In addition, according to the present invention, IMT bus **308** carries ticket voucher request and grant  
5 messages between Internet protocol link interface modules **300**, **302**, **304**, and **306**. The generation and transfer of the ticket voucher grant and request messages will be discussed in more detail below.

Figure 4 is a block diagram illustrating an Internet protocol link interface module according to an embodiment of the present invention in more detail.  
10 In Figure 4, Internet protocol link interface module **300** includes a plurality of processes for handling incoming and outgoing messages as well as processes for performing ticket voucher flow control according to embodiments of the present invention. For example, Internet protocol link interface module **300** includes an SS7/IP and IP/SS7 converter **400** for  
15 converting messages between SS7 and IP and vice versa. L2 to L3 queue **402** stores SS7 messages between SS7 layer 2 and layer 3 processes. Message handling and discrimination (HMDC) process **404** determines whether an inbound SS7 message is directed to this signaling gateway or to another signaling node. This determination may be based on the MTP routing  
20 label in the SS7 message. If HMDC process **404** determines that the message is directed to this signaling node, HMDC process **404** routes the message to message handling and distribution (HMDT) process **406** to be routed to an internal card for further processing. An example of such a message that requires further processing is a message that requires global  
25 title translation. Such processing is not of importance to the present invention.

Accordingly, in the remaining explanation, it is assumed an incoming message is routed to an external node.

Once HMDC process **404** determines that a message is to be routed to an external signaling node, HMDC process **404** forwards the message to MTP routing process **408**. MTP routing process **408** examines signaling information in the message and determines the internal IMT bus address of the communications module that manages the outbound signaling link to which the message is addressed. According to the present embodiment, MTP process **408** also communicates with ticket voucher flow control process **402** to regulate message flow in the event of a link failure.

Figure 5 illustrates exemplary steps that may be performed by MTP routing process in regulating message flow based on ticket voucher grants according to an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Figure 5, in step **ST1**, MTP routing process **408** selects an outgoing signaling linkset and a link within the linkset based on the destination point code and signaling link selection code in a message. In SS7 communications, a link is a path to an adjacent node. Links are placed into groups, which are referred to as linksets. All links in a linkset are capable of accessing the same adjacent signaling node. In step **ST2**, MTP routing process **408** determines whether the outgoing signaling linkset is on hold. Determining whether a linkset is on hold can be accomplished by reading a flag in a routing table associated with the linkset. The flag may be set by SS7 traffic management processes when a link fails. The following is a sequence of events that may occur in a signaling gateway or a signal transfer point when a signaling link fails:

1. A link in a linkset becomes unavailable.
2. The communication module associated with the link notifies other modules that its link is unavailable.
3. The MTP routing functions associated with each communication module flag the linkset as on hold. MTP layer 3 functions associated with the communication module having the failed linkset send a changeover message to the adjacent node and start a sequence timer to prevent race conditions from occurring.
4. A message addressed to the on-hold linkset arrives at one of the communication modules and is enqueued because the linkset is on hold.
5. The linkset goes off-hold because a) the sequence timer expires, or b) a changeover acknowledgement message received from adjacent node.
6. Messages queued while linkset was on hold are now routed, using ticket voucher messages for pacing.

In step **ST3**, if MTP routing process determines that the linkset is not on hold, MTP routing process **408** simply routes the message to the outgoing link. However, in step **ST4**, if MTP routing process **408** determines that the linkset is on hold, MTP routing process **408** places the message in ticket voucher queue **410**. Ticket voucher queue **410** stores the message until a ticket voucher request is granted for the message or until the message is dequeued by a background process adapted to send MSUs at a low-speed rate, such as 600 MSUs/sec, or until it becomes necessary to discard the message. The operation of ticket voucher queue **410** and the granting and

requesting of ticket vouchers will be discussed in more detail below. Once MTP routing process places the message in the ticket voucher queue, control returns to step **ST1** where the MTP routing process **408** processes the next message.

5           Figure 6 illustrates exemplary steps that may be performed by ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** in formulating ticket voucher requests and processing ticket voucher grants according to an embodiment of the present invention. The steps illustrated in Figure 6 assume that the linkset to which MSUs in the ticket voucher queue are addressed has gone off-hold.

10       In step **ST1**, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines whether MSUs are present in ticket voucher queue **410**. In step **ST2**, if ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines that MSUs are not present, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** continues checking. If ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines  
15       that MSUs are present in ticket voucher queue **410**, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** issues a ticket voucher (TV) request and waits for a grant. In order to issue a TV request, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor formulates a TV message and sends the TV message over the IMT bus.

20           Figure 7 illustrates an exemplary packet structure for a TV message according to an embodiment of the present invention. In the illustrated embodiment, TV message **700** includes a destination address field that specifies the destination address for the TV message. According to the present embodiment, the destination address is an IMT bus hardware address  
25       within signaling gateway **100**. Because it is desirable to ensure that all of the

candidate communications modules on the IMT bus receive the message, the destination address **702** is preferably that of the message originator. For example, because the IMT bus is of the ring design, the ticket voucher request message will pass through each communications module connected to the

5 IMT bus and eventually return to the originator.

Ticket voucher request message **700** includes a group/member number field **704** for storing a group number or a member number. The group number is specified in a TV request to identify the type of cards for receiving the request. For example, one group number may indicate that the request is

10 directed to all LIM cards. The member number is used to distinguish between linksets when changeovers occur simultaneously on more than one linkset. For example, if two different linksets are on hold simultaneously, ticket voucher requests from different cards may be issued simultaneously. Cards associated with both linksets may each issue a single grant for the associated

15 request. Since grants are routed to all cards until they are consumed, one card could receive two grants and send MSUs at twice the desired rate and another card would receive no grants. Thus, if there is no way for the requestor to distinguish between grants, some cards could be "starved" and other cards could receive more than their share of grants.

20 To alleviate these problems, the member number is used to distinguish among linksets. If the grant does not contain the expected member number, the grant is ignored. Request/grant indication field **706** stores a value that indicates whether the ticket voucher flow control message **700** is a request or a grant. Grantor present indication field **708** stores a value which is used to

25 indicate whether a grantor is present for the message. Time-to-live field **710**

stores a time-to-live or hop count value that is decremented by each communications module that receives a request to prevent messages from looping endlessly within signaling gateway **100**. Checksum field **712** stores a value for indicating whether ticket voucher flow control message **700** has an error.

Returning to Figure 6, in step **ST4**, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines whether a grant has been received. In step **ST5**, if a grant has been received, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines whether the grant contains the expected member number. If the grant does not contain the expected member number, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** ignores the grant and control returns to step **ST3** where a new request is issued. If ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines that the received grant is from the card in the expected linkset, in step **ST6**, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor sends the MSU at the head of the ticket voucher queue to an outbound communication module, as determined by the SLC and DPC in the MSU. It is not necessary that the outbound communication module be the grantor of the request.

Returning to step **ST4**, if a message other than a grant is received, control proceeds to step **ST7**. In step **ST7**, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** determines whether grantor present field in a received message is set to "true" but no grants are available. If the received message indicates that a grantor is present but no grants are available, ticket voucher request generator/grant processor **412** preferably issues a new request, with the expectation that grants will later become available. In this

case, it may be desirable to wait for a predetermined time period before issuing a new request in order to allow sufficient time for grants to become available. In step **ST7**, if a ticket voucher message is received and indicates that no grantors are available, an error condition occurs and control may  
5 return to step **ST1**. As seen from Figure 6, ticket voucher requests can be used to perform flow control when a link in a linkset fails.

Figure 8 illustrates exemplary steps that may be performed by ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** illustrated in Figure 4 in processing a ticket voucher request and managing ticket voucher grants.

10 Referring to Figure 8, in step **ST1**, ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** receives a ticket voucher request from the IMT bus. In step **ST2**, ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** determines whether the ticket voucher request is addressed to its group. This can be determined by examining the group number in field **704** of ticket voucher  
15 message **700**. In step **ST3**, ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** determines whether the request has been granted. This can be determined by examining request/grant indication field **706**. In step **ST4**, if the request has not been granted, ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** determines whether its communications module has any grants  
20 available. The determination as to whether any grants are available may be performed by monitoring the capacity of the outgoing signaling link associated with the interface module that received the grant.

In step **ST4**, if a grant is available, control proceeds to step **ST5** where ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** issues a grant to the  
25 requesting card according to a predetermined burst prevention function. For



example, the card issuing the grant may have an outbound signaling link capacity to send 1000 MSUs per second. If 1000 MSUs were enqueued in the TV queue, the associated card would issue 1000 back-to-back requests, and without any burst management function, the card with available outbound processing capacity would issue 1000 back-to-back grants. The 1000 grants would produce a burst of MSUs to be sent over the outbound signaling link in the first part of the available second and no MSUs during the remainder of the second. Bursty traffic is more susceptible to loss and hence is undesirable. Accordingly, the present invention applies a burst management algorithm when issuing ticket voucher grants.

According to the grant management algorithm, the available time slot for sending MSUs is divided into predetermined intervals. For example, if the time slot is 1 second, the predetermined intervals may be 5 milliseconds. Next, a predetermined number of grants are issued during each time interval. If the available bandwidth is 1000 MSUs/sec. and the intervals are 5 ms, then the number of grants issued during each time interval may be 5. Issuing 5 grants every 5 ms results in a total of 1000 MSUs/s, which is the available bandwidth in this example. Such spacing may be accomplished using a grant timer to control the issuance of grants. For example, the timer may be set to the interval (5 ms). When the timer expires, grants are issued, and the timer is reset. No grants are issued until the timer expires. Sending 5 MSUs every 5 milliseconds for one second uses the same average bandwidth over the one second interval as sending 1000 MSUs every 100 ms. However, the latter is more bursty. The present invention solves this problem by using a grant timer to smoothly space grant issuance over an available time slot.

In order to issue a grant, request processor/grant manager **414** changes request/grant indication field **706** to a value that indicates that the message is a grant and changes the group/member number field to the member number associated with the linkset of the card that issued the grant.

5 Control then returns to step **ST1** to process the next request.

Returning to step **ST4**, if the card receiving the ticket voucher request determines that no grants are available, control proceeds to step **ST6** where the grantor present indication in the message is set to true. In step **ST7**, the time-to-live value in the message is checked. If the TTL value is greater than  
10 zero, the TTL value is decremented and the message is forwarded to the next card (step **ST8**). If the TTL value is not greater than zero, the message is discarded (step **ST9**).

Returning to step **ST2**, if the request is not addressed to the group of the receiving card, ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414**  
15 determines whether the time-to-live value in the message is greater than zero (step **ST7**). If the time-to-live value is greater than zero, ticket voucher request processor/grant manager **414** decrements the TTL value in the message and forwards the message to the next card time-to-live (step **ST8**). If the time-to-live value is not greater than zero, in step **ST9**, ticket voucher  
20 request processor/grant manager **414** discards the message. This prevents excessive looping on the IMT bus. Once the message has been either forwarded or discarded, control returns to step **ST1** to process the next ticket voucher request. Accordingly, the steps in Figure 8 illustrate exemplary steps that may be performed in processing ticket voucher requests. Such steps

allow receiving cards to efficiently communicate their outgoing link processing capability to a requestor.

The ticket voucher flow control system according to embodiments of the present invention performs efficient flow control when a link in a linkset fails. By controlling the flow of MSUs based on ticket voucher request and grant messages, the present invention efficiently regulates the flow of enqueued MSUs to outbound signaling links. The present invention also includes mechanisms for distinguishing between ticket voucher grants when cards associated with two or more linksets simultaneously issue grant messages.

Although the embodiments illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 include ticket voucher flow control functionality located on link interface modules 300, 302, 304, and 306, the present invention is not limited to such an embodiment. For example, in an alternate embodiment of the invention, a centralized grantor module may monitor the capacity of all outbound signaling links and issue ticket voucher grants in response to the requests, as described above with regard to Figure 8.

It will be understood that various details of the invention may be changed without departing from the scope of the invention. Furthermore, the foregoing description is for the purpose of illustration only, and not for the purpose of limitation—the invention being defined by the claims.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for controlling call signaling message flow through a signaling node when a signaling link fails, the method comprising:
  - (a) receiving a first call signaling message at a first communication module associated with the first signaling link;
  - (b) determining a second signaling link to which the first call signaling message should be routed for outbound transmission based on address information in the first call signaling message;
  - (c) determining whether a linkset associated with the second signaling link is on-hold, and, in response to determining that the linkset is on-hold, storing the first call signaling message in a memory buffer associated with the first communication module;
  - (d) determining when the linkset becomes off-hold, and, in response, transmitting a ticket voucher request message from the first communication module to a plurality of second communication modules;
  - (e) receiving a ticket voucher grant indicating that one of the plurality of second communication modules is capable of transmitting the first call signaling message over an outbound signaling link; and

(f) in response to receiving the grant, routing the first call signaling message to one of the second communication modules based on address information in the call signaling message

5

2. The method of claim 1 wherein transmitting a ticket voucher request message includes specifying a group number in the ticket voucher request message of communication modules capable of routing the first call signaling message to external nodes.

10

3. The method of claim 1 wherein transmitting a ticket voucher request message includes addressing the ticket voucher request message to the first communication module.

15

4. The method of claim 1 comprising starting a sequence timer in response to failure of the second signaling link, and wherein determining whether the linkset is on-hold includes determining whether the sequence timer has expired.

20

5. The method of claim 1 wherein determining a signaling link to which the first call signaling message should be routed includes determining the signaling link based on message transfer part (MTP) information in the first call signaling message.

25

6. The method of claim 5 wherein the MTP information includes the signaling link selection (SLS) code and the destination point code (DPC) in the first call signaling message.

- 5 7. A method for processing ticket voucher request messages received in response to failure of a signaling link within a signaling node, the method comprising:

(a) receiving, at a first communication module, a ticket voucher request message transmitted from a second communication module;

(b) determining whether the ticket voucher request message is intended for the first communication module;

(c) in response to determining that the ticket voucher request message is intended for the first communication module, determining whether any grants are available based on the loading capacity of outbound signaling links associated with the first communication module; and

(d) in response to determining that grants are available, issuing a grant to the second communication module.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein issuing a grant to the second communication module includes sending a ticket voucher grant message to the second communication module via an interprocessor message transport bus.

9. The method of claim 8 wherein sending a ticket voucher grant message includes altering a request/grant indicator field in the ticket voucher request message and forwarding the modified ticket voucher request message over the IMT bus.

5

10. The method of claim 7 wherein determining whether the ticket voucher request message is intended for the first communication module includes determining whether the ticket voucher request message is addressed to the group of the first communication module, and in response to determining that the ticket voucher request message is not addressed to the group of the first communication module, forwarding the ticket voucher request message over an interprocessor message transport (IMT) bus.

10

15

11. The method of claim 10 comprising in response to determining that the ticket voucher request message is addressed to the group of the first communication module, determining whether the request has been granted, and, in response to determining that the request has been granted, forwarding the ticket voucher request message over the IMT bus.

20

12. A method for performing flow control in a signaling node in response to signaling link failure using ticket voucher request and grant messages, the method comprising:

- (a) placing a linkset on hold based on failure of one or more signaling links in the linkset;
- (b) starting a sequence timer for the linkset;
- (c) receiving a call signaling message directed to one of the signaling links in the linkset;
- (d) storing the call signaling message in a queue; and
- (e) in response to expiration of the sequence timer, issuing a ticket voucher request message to cards capable of sending the call signaling message over an outbound signaling link.

13. The method of claim 12 comprising receiving a ticket voucher grant message in response to the ticket voucher request and, in response, sending the call signaling message to a card associated with an outbound signaling link.

14. The method of claim 12 comprising:

- (a) receiving a ticket voucher grant message;
- (b) determining whether the ticket voucher grant message is from a card associated with the linkset; and
- (c) in response to determining that the grant message is not from a card associated with the linkset, ignoring the ticket voucher grant message.



15. The method of claim 14 comprising, in response to determining that the ticket voucher grant message is from a card associated with the linkset, sending the call signaling message to an outbound signaling link.

5

16. A method for performing message flow control in a call signaling message routing node in response to signaling link failure, the method comprising:

- (a) receiving called signaling messages addressed to an on-hold linkset;
- (b) enqueueing the call signaling messages in a ticket voucher queue;
- (c) in response to determining that the linkset is no longer on hold, issuing ticket voucher request messages for the call signaling messages in the ticket voucher queue;
- (d) monitoring outbound signaling link capacity;
- (e) issuing ticket voucher grant messages at a rate based on outbound signaling link capacity; and
- (f) in response to the ticket voucher grant messages, sending the call signaling messages to outbound signaling links.

10

15

20

17. The method of claim 16 wherein issuing ticket voucher grant messages at a rate based on outbound signaling link capacity includes dividing the outbound signaling link capacity into

25

predetermined time intervals and issuing a predetermined number of ticket voucher grants during each time interval.

18. A signaling node for using ticket vouchers to internally throttle call signaling messages enqueued in response to signaling link failure, the signaling node comprising:

- (a) a first communication module for determining whether messages are present in a ticket voucher queue and for issuing ticket voucher request messages in response to determining that messages are present in the ticket voucher queue; and
- (b) a plurality of second communication modules for receiving the ticket voucher request messages, determining whether any ticket voucher grants are available, and, in response to determining that ticket voucher grants are available, issuing ticket voucher grants to the first communication module, wherein, in response to receiving the ticket voucher grants, the first communication module forwards the call signaling messages to one of the second communication modules for outbound processing.

19. The signaling node of claim 18 wherein the first communication module includes a ticket voucher request generator/grant

processor for issuing the ticket voucher request messages and processing the ticket voucher grants.

20. The signaling node of claim 18 wherein each of the second communication modules includes a ticket voucher request processor/grant manager for receiving the ticket voucher request messages and issuing the ticket voucher grants.

21. The signaling node of claim 18 wherein the first communication module includes a ticket voucher queue for storing the call signaling messages until the ticket voucher grants are received.

22. The signaling node of claim 17 wherein the first communication module is adapted to send the ticket voucher request messages only in response to determining that a linkset to which the enqueued messages are addressed is off-hold.

23. The signaling node of claim 17 wherein each of the second communication modules includes a grant timer for spacing issuance of the ticket voucher grants over a predetermined time interval.

24. A signaling node for using ticket voucher messages to internally throttle call signaling messages stored in response to signaling link failure, the signaling node comprising:

(a) a first communication module for receiving call signaling messages addressed to an on-hold signaling link and, in response, for enqueueing the call signaling messages and issuing ticket voucher request messages for the call signaling messages;

5

(b) a plurality of second communication modules for routing call signaling messages over outbound signaling links;

(c) a third communication module for monitoring the outbound signaling link processing capacity associated with the second communication modules and for issuing ticket voucher grant messages based on outbound signaling link processing capacity, wherein the first communication module forwards call signaling messages to the second communication modules in response to the ticket voucher grant messages.

10

15

25. The signaling node of claim 24 wherein the third communication module is adapted to apply a burst management algorithm when issuing the ticket voucher grant messages.

20

26. A computer program product comprising computer-executable instructions embodied in a computer-readable medium for performing steps comprising:

- (a) receiving a plurality of call signaling messages from an inbound signaling link that are directed to an outbound signaling linkset that has been placed on hold;
- (b) buffering the call signaling messages for a predetermined time period;
- (c) in response to expiration of the predetermined time period, issuing ticket voucher request messages to outbound communication modules;
- (d) receiving ticket voucher grant messages from the outbound communication modules; and
- (e) routing the call signaling messages to the outbound communication modules in response to the grant messages.

5

10

15

27. The computer program product of claim 26 wherein receiving call signaling messages from an inbound signaling link includes receiving call signaling messages from a service switching point (SSP).

20


28. The computer program product of claim 26 wherein receiving call signaling messages from an inbound signaling link includes receiving call signaling messages from a signal transfer point (STP).

10

29. The computer program product of claim 26 wherein receiving call signaling messages from an inbound signaling link includes receiving call signaling messages from a service control point (SCP).
30. The computer program product of claim 26 wherein receiving call signaling messages from an inbound signaling link includes receiving call signaling messages from a media gateway controller (MGC).

Methods and systems for ticket voucher flow control throttle MSUs that were enqueued during a signaling link failure. The throttling is performed based on a system of ticket voucher requests and grants. Communication modules seeking to send call signaling messages to outgoing signaling links issue ticket voucher requests. Grantors that are available to send the call signaling messages issue grants to the requestor. The grants may be based on the available capacity of an outbound signaling link.


5



**FIG. 1**



200



**FIG. 2**






FIG. 3

4/8



**FIG. 4**

**FIG. 5**





FIG. 6



**FIG. 7**



Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/01 (12-97)

Approved for use through 9/30/00. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

**DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR  
DESIGN  
PATENT APPLICATION  
(37 CFR 1.63)**

☒ Declaration  
Submitted with Initial  
Filing OR ☐ Declaration  
Submitted after Initial  
Filing (surcharge  
(37 CFR 1.16 (e))  
required)

**Attorney Docket Number** 1322/48

**First Named Inventor** Davidson, Mark Ernest

**COMPLETE IF KNOWN**

**Application Number**

**Filing Date**

**Group Art Unit**

**Examiner Name**

**As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:**

My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR TICKET VOUCHER FLOW CONTROL  
IN RESPONSE TO SIGNALING LINK FAILURE**

the specification of which

(Title of the Invention)

☒ is attached hereto  
OR

☐ was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY)  as United States Application Number or PCT International

Application Number  and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY)  (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 356(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 356(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or of any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not Claimed	Certified Copy Attached?	
				YES	NO
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Additional foreign application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Application Number(s)	Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional provisional application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto.

[Page 1 of 2]

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.4 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/01 (12-97)  
Approved for use through 9/30/00. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

## DECLARATION — Utility or Design Patent Application

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. Parent Application or PCT Parent Number	Parent Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Parent Patent Number (if applicable)

☐ Additional U.S. or PCT international application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02C attached hereto.

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following registered practitioner(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

☐ Customer Number  OR  
☒ Registered practitioner(s) name/registration number listed below

Place Customer  
Number Bar Code  
Label here

Name	Registration Number	Name	Registration Number
Gregory A. Hunt	41,085	Arles A. Taylor, Jr.	39,395
Richard E. Jenkins	28,428	Jennifer L. Skord	30,687
Jeffrey L. Wilson	36,058	David P. Gloekler	41,037

☒ Additional registered practitioner(s) named on supplemental Registered Practitioner Information sheet PTO/SB/02C attached hereto.

Direct all correspondence to: ☐ Customer Number  or Bar Code Label OR ☒ Correspondence address below

Name	Gregory A. Hunt, JENKINS & WILSON, P.A.				
Address	Suite 1400 University Tower				
Address	3100 Tower Boulevard				
City	Durham	State	NC	ZIP	27707
Country	USA	Telephone	001-919-493-8000	Fax	001-919-419-0383

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Name of Sole or First Inventor: ☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])	Family Name or Surname
Mark Ernest	Davidson

Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence: City	Chapel Hill
State	NC
Country	US
Citizenship	US
Post Office Address	101 Glade Street
Post Office Address	
City	Chapel Hill
State	NC
ZIP	27516
Country	US

☒ Additional inventors are being named on the 1 supplemental Additional Inventor(s) sheet(s) PTO/SB/02A attached hereto

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → +

PTO/SB/02A (3-97)  
Approved for use through 9/30/98. OMB 0651-0032  
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

## DECLARATION

**ADDITIONAL INVENTOR(S)**  
**Supplemental Sheet**  
Page 1 of 1

**Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])

Family Name or Surname

David Michael

Sprague

Inventor's  
Signature

Date

Residence: City

Raleigh

State

NC

Country

US

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

14209 Allison Drive

Post Office Address

City

Raleigh

State

NC

ZIP

27615

Country

US

**Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])

Family Name or Surname

Mark Edward

Kanode

Inventor's  
Signature

Date

Residence: City

Apex

State

NC

Country

US

Citizenship

US

Post Office Address

100 Milleybrook Court

Post Office Address

City

Apex

State

NC

ZIP

27502

Country

US

**Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])

Family Name or Surname

Inventor's  
Signature

Date

Residence: City

State

Country

Citizenship

Post Office Address

Post Office Address

City

State

ZIP

Country

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.4 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

